



Shri Brijinder Kumar, IPS(Retd.)
H.No.593, IAS/IPS Society,
Sector-49A, Chandigarh.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Town Planner,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Administrator,
GMADA, PUDA Bhawan,
Phase-VIII, S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali)

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 36 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Brijinder Kumar, complainant is present.
(ii) Shri Baldev Singh, Asstt. Town Planner Mohali is present.
(ii) Shri Gurwinder Singh, Assistant Estate Officer/PIO, GMADA, Mohali is present.
(M: 9878602071)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The complainant has come present today and stated that in complete information has been provided to him and documents provided are not legible.
3. Shri Baldev Singh, Asstt. Town Planner, Mohali personally come present today and submitted copy of letter no. 2912 dated 22.02.2022 before the Bench and stated that the information relating to point No. 1 is available on the official Website i.e. www.gmada.gov.in and the information relating to point nos. 2 to 8 is not related with his office and it relates with the office of the GMADA, Mohali.
4. So, the PIO, office of the GMADA, Mohali is directed to provided the information related with point nos. 2 to 8 to the complainant before the next hearing. Non compliance of the orders of the Commission will be viewed seriously and strict action will be taken against the PIO.
5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up personally present for hearing on 04.08.2022 at 11.30 AM in the office of the Commission.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. As Shri Baldev Singh, ATP has provided the sought for information on his part, so, he is exempted to attend the hearing on the next date.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Sh. H.S.Hundal, Advocate,
#203, Judicial Courts,
Mohali.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA,
Ludhiana.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2176 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) **Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate is present in person.**
(ii) **Shri Narinder Singh,(9803814780) Clerk, GLADA, Ludhiana is present.**

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 30.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant has come personally present today and stated that no deficiencies, as pointed out by him, have been removed by the PIO till date and the PIO has also not filed any affidavit as ordered by the Commission vide order dated 30.05.2022.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that letter No. 4181 dated 28.06.2022 has been sent to the appellant vide which the PIO has called the appellant to visit the office and examine information related records but till date the appellant has not visited the office.

4. I have gone through my previous order dated 13.12.2021 and 30.05.2022 and the reply submitted by the PIO dated 28.06.2022. Since the PIO has not removed the deficiencies regarding point No. 1,2, 6 and 10 of the appellant's RTI application. The conduct of the PIO amounts to denial of information and defiance of the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. So, a **show cause notice** is issued to PIO, office of GLADA,Ludhiana that why the sought for information/reply has not been provided to the applicant till date and why he may not be penalized.

5. The PIO is directed to file reply to the show cause notice in writing and send the same to the Commission at least 10 days before the next date of hearing and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him on the show cause notice. In case the PIO would not file reply to the show cause

...Contd...P/2....

Appeal Case No. 2176 of 2021

notice then it will be assumed that he has nothing to say in his defense and the show cause notice will be decided without hearing him and a penalty will be imposed upon him.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur., Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Addl. Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1177 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate is present through Webex.**
(ii) **Shri Narinder Kumar, O/o GLADA, Ludhiana is present on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 30.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the office of the PIO.
3. On the other hand the PIO states that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter No. 7734-7735 dated 16.12.2020, letter No. 4360-4362 dated 22.6.2021 and letter No. 249 dated 25.01.2022. Besides, this if the appellant wants to inspect the record he can visit the office on any working day. This letter is in the record of the file of the Commission.
4. I have gone through my previous order dated 30.05.2022 and the reply/information provided by the PIO to the appellant vide letter no. 4277-78 dated 01.07.2022. The PIO has neither removed the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant nor replied to the show cause notice issued vide order dated 30.05.2022.
5. So, the PIO is directed to provide the remaining information to the appellant and also file reply to the show cause notice in writing and send the same to the Commission at least 10 days before the next date of hearing and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him on the show cause

Appeal Case No. 1177 of 2021

notice. In case the PIO does not file reply to the show cause notice then it will be assumed that he has nothing to say in his defense and the show cause notice will be decided without hearing him and a penalty may be imposed upon him.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur., Distt. Jalandhar.
Pin-144410.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Khadoor Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Khadoor Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5354 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate is present through Webex.**
(ii) **None present on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The appellant states that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the office of the PIO.
3. None is present on behalf of the respondent. The respondent/PIO was also absent on 23.02.2022 and 18.05.2022.
4. I have gone through my previous order dated 18.05.2022. The PIO has not provided the sought for information to the appellant nor replied to the show cause notice issued vide order dated 18.05.2022.
5. The PIO is directed to provide the sought for information to the appellant and also file reply to the show cause notice in writing and send the same to the Commission at least 10 days before the next date of hearing and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him on the show cause notice. In case the PIO would not file reply to the show cause notice then it will be assumed that he has nothing to say in his defense and the show cause notice will be decided without hearing him and a penalty may be imposed on him.

...Contd...P/2....

Appeal Case No. 5354 of 2021

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Shri Darshan Singh,
S/o Shri Maninder Singh,
R/o Village Rameana, ,
Tehsil Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer-cum-
Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Rameana,
Block Jaito, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o District Development and
Panchayat Officer(DDPO),
Faridkot..

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5201 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) The appellant is present today.
(ii) Shri Satinderpal Singh, (7009910842) Suprintendent, BDPO, Jaito is present.
(iii) Shri Jagtar Singh, (9876055336)PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Village Rameana is present.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. Both the parties are present today. The appellant states that he has not received the complete sought for information and only partial information has been provided to him by the PIO.
3. The PIO is present before the Bench and stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 16.01.2022 through registered post. Copy of said letter along with the postal receipt is placed in the file of the Commission. The PIO further stated that the record which is available with the office the Panchayat has been provided to the appellant and some information has not been provided as certain record of the Panchayat is available with the previous Panchayat Secretary namely Lakhbir Singh.
4. I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.04.2021 of the appellant and also the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter dated 16.01.2022. As the appellant has not received the sought for

Appeal Case No. 5201 of 2021

information and has received only the partial information. Thus, Shri Lakhbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary of Block Jaito is impleaded as party and directed to provide the remaining information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, otherwise, strict action will be taken against him.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Copy to: Shri Lakhbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Block Jaito, Distt. Faridkot for compliance of the orders of the Commission.

Shri R.C. Tandon
#146, Urban Estate,
PO Chachoki, Phagwara,
District Kapurthala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

Assistant Public Information Officer

O/o Estate Officer (Regulatory),
Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Estate Officer,
Jalandhar Development Authority,
Jalandhar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3683 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) The appellant is absent today.
(ii) Ms. Ravneet Kaur, J.E.(Horticulture)(9465215616) is present.

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant is absent today whereas the Respondent is present. However, the appellant has submitted an e-mail to the Commission vide diary no. 0553 dated 19.05.2022 which is voluminous and vague.

3. The PIO present before the Bench and stated that as per RTI Act, 2005, Sub Section 5(4) the sought for information has been called from the Sub Divisional Engineer (B&E), JDA, Jalandhar and as received vide letter No. 921 dated 17.05.2022, the copy of the said letter is being sent to the appellant.

4. I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.05.2021 of the appellant and also the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter No. 491/2076 dated 25.05.2022. As the appellant has not received the sought for information and the PIO has not complied the previous order dated 18.05.2022 vide which

...Contd....P/2.....

it has been directed that the APIO Shri Rakesh Kumar, Superintendent, O/o Estate Officer (Regulatory), JDA, Jalandhar to provide the sought for information to the appellant and also file reply to the show cause notice and also file an affidavit. But he has not complied with the order of the Commission.

5. The APIO is given another opportunity to comply with the directions of the Bench before the next date of hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Shri Bawa Bhulinder Singh,
S/o Shri Dalip Singh,
R/o Abadi Mata Ganga Ji Nagar,
Gurdwara Bhai Manj Sahib,
Tarn Taran Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Punjab State Power
Corporation Ltd.(PSPCL),
Ghee Mandi, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Punjab State Power
Corporation Ltd.(PSPCL),
Ghee Mandi, Amritsar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3765 of 2021
(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) The appellant is absent today.
(ii) Shri Varinder Kumar, Clerk (83602909794) O/o PSPCL is present on behalf of the PIO.

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant is absent today whereas he has been contacted over his phone and he stated that he has not received the sought for information from the PIO.

3. The PIO present before the Bench and stated that all the information has been provided to the appellant but has not provided any documentary evidence in this regard.

4. I have gone through my previous order dated 18.05.2022 in which it was directed that the PIO must call the appellant to his office and allow him access to the relevant record and provide him the identified information and after supplying the information to the appellant, the PIO should furnish a fresh affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public. But the PIO has not complied with the orders of the Bench.

5. So, the PIO is directed to provide the sought for information to the appellant as identified by him after inspecting the record and to file fresh affidavit signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary

..Contd...P/2.....

: 2 :

Appeal Case No. 3765 of 2021

Public before the next date of hearing, otherwise penalty may be imposed upon the PIO which will be deducted from his salary.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 20.09.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh.
Date : 14th July, 2022

Sd/-
(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

